

Minutes of a meeting of the Nelson City Council

**Held in the Council Chamber, Civic House, Trafalgar Street,
Nelson**

On Thursday 20 November 2014, commencing at 9.04am

Present: Her Worship the Mayor (R Reese), Councillors L Acland, I Barker, R Copeland, E Davy, K Fulton, M Lawrey, P Matheson, B McGurk, G Noonan, T Skinner, and M Ward

In Attendance: Chief Executive (C Hadley), Group Manager Infrastructure (A Louverdis), Group Manager Corporate Services (N Harrison), Group Manager Community Services (C Ward), Manager Communications (P Shattock), Senior Strategic Adviser (N McDonald), Manager Administration (P Langley), and Administration Adviser (G Brown)

Apology: Councillor P Rainey

Opening Prayer

Councillor Davy gave the opening prayer.

1. Apologies

Resolved

***THAT an apology be received and accepted
from Councillor Rainey.***

Her Worship the Mayor/Lawrey

Carried

2. Confirmation of Order of Business

Her Worship the Mayor referred to item 3 of the public excluded table in relation to the Farmers Development and advised the first reason for withholding information was not required and was to be removed.

In addition, she advised that since the agenda had been distributed, two public forums had been confirmed.

3. Public Forum

3.1 Ken Beckett

Mr Ken Beckett spoke about public excluded items and said that there were lessons to be learned from the previous council. He advised that the public should be made aware of negotiations and the general subject matter of discussions.

3.2 Steve Cross

Mr Steve Cross spoke about the Tasman District Council (TDC) consultation process in relation to the Waimea Community Dam and said that he believed the governance process had been overlooked due to a rushed decision.

He referred to the corporate structure of the Nelson Airport and the additional costs involved when operating under the banner of a Council Controlled Trading Organisation (CCTO). He said that if the Waimea Community Dam became a CCTO then there would be tax implications and a reduction in public participation. Mr Cross suggested that Council needed to analyse the governance structure and whether there was any benefit to this proposal.

In response to a question, Mr Cross informed councillors that he had lodged a submission with TDC. He said that TDC could have analysed the governance structure, however this was not identified in the Waimea Community Dam consultation document.

In response to a further question, Mr Cross said that TDC should own the Waimea Community Dam and Nelson City Council (NCC) should have a contractual agreement with them to achieve Nelson City needs.

4. Interests

There were no updates to the Interests Register, and no interests with agenda items were declared.

5. Confirmation of Minutes – 30 October 2014

Document number A1271581, agenda pages 13-25 refer.

Resolved

THAT the minutes of a meeting of the Nelson City Council, held on 30 October 2014, be confirmed as a true and correct record, subject to a wording change on page 17, item 9 in relation to Councillor Barker voting against the recommendation.

Barker/McGurk

Carried

6. Status Report – Council 20 November 2014

Document number A1168168, agenda pages 26-27 refer.

Resolved

THAT the Status Report – Council 20 November 2014 (A1168168) be received.

Davy/Ward

Carried

7. Mayor’s Report

Document number A1260502, agenda page 28 refers.

Her Worship the Mayor advised councillors that she was impressed with the connections made through the Gigatown project and the benefits organisations achieved when working in collaboration.

She advised that there would be an initiative over the coming weeks in relation to establishing a Nelson Digital Future Working Party, to focus on economic development opportunities, and that the Economic Development Agency (EDA) would play a key role in the strategic infrastructure.

It was agreed that this was a good idea and that it would be a partnership between businesses, Council and the community.

Resolved

THAT the Mayor’s Report (A1260502) be received.

Her Worship the Mayor/Davy

Carried

8. Administrative Matters

Document number A1260516, agenda pages 29-42 refer.

Manager Administration, Penny Langley, joined the meeting.

It was noted that there were amendments required to the meeting attendance attachment and that councillors would inform Ms Langley of these changes.

Resolved

THAT the report Administrative Matters (A1260516) and its attachments (A1166734, A1168076, A1006782 and A1235615) be received.

Davy/Her Worship the Mayor

Carried

9. 2015 Council Meeting Calendar

Document number A1260517, agenda pages 43-58 refer.

Manager Administration, Penny Langley, informed councillors that since the calendar had been compiled it had been highlighted that there may need to be a change to the Council LTP Workshop dates in January due to a one day international cricket game.

It was pointed out that workshops were previously held on Thursdays but due to workloads attendance dropped in the afternoon. It was suggested that workshops be held on Tuesdays and that the programme would be in relation to Thursday's meetings. It was agreed that committee chairs would collaborate with senior management to decide what was best suited to be discussed at a workshop or on an agenda. It was noted that if an elected member was not a committee member of the committee meeting that week, it would mean that they may choose not to attend the Tuesday workshop.

There were concerns that non committee members would not be expected to attend; therefore a diversity of views would not be achieved from all councillors. It was noted that members would be encouraged to attend but ultimately it was their choice.

There was general agreement that the Tuesday and Thursday meetings would likely be more productive using this process.

Resolved

THAT the report Schedule of Council Meetings 2015 (A1260517) and its attachment (A1272968) be received;

AND THAT the schedule of meetings outlined in Attachment 1 (A1272968) be adopted.

Her Worship the Mayor/Matheson

Carried

10. Code of Conduct Review

Document number A1215877, agenda pages 59-97 refer.

It was noted that the Code of Conduct was a document which educated new members, especially in terms of compliance, and that it would only be referred to in a worst case scenario.

There was a discussion in relation to media and it was clarified that committee chairs did not need authorisation from Her Worship the Mayor to speak with media, as they had greater knowledge on certain matters. However, councillors needed to be mindful that they formed part of a Council and a diversity of opinions existed.

It was explained that the Office of the Auditor General advised that there was a base level of expectations on councillors to deal with difficult situations, which the Code of Conduct had acknowledged. It was said that the compliance process was not to be used as a political tool and that the panel would always discuss issues at the lowest possible level.

In response to a question, it was explained that conduct at workshops was covered within the terms of 'meetings'. It was added that memorabilia could be acknowledged within the dress code as an expectation at meetings and civic occasions. It was suggested that Council badges should be magnetised.

It was noted that councillors could abstain from decision making however, the presumption was that they should cast their vote.

It was highlighted that 6.6 and 6.7 of the Code of Conduct needed to be amended so that the words 'you' and 'Councillors' be replaced with 'elected members'.

In response to a question, Ms Langley said that appointed members to committees were also bound by the Code of Conduct as it was part of their contract and an updated copy would be provided to them.

Resolved

THAT the report Review of Elected Members' Code of Conduct (A1215877) and its attachments (A1181923) be received;

AND THAT the Elected Members' Code of Conduct (A1181923) be adopted;

AND THAT Communications Policy for Elected Members (A1134091) be adopted;

AND THAT Her Worship the Mayor, Councillors Ward and Matheson be appointed to the Conduct Review Panel;

AND THAT Councillors McGurk, Fulton and Noonan be appointed to replace any member on the Conduct Review Panel in the event of a conflict of interest or unavailability.

Her Worship the Mayor/Davy

Carried

11. Delegations Register Review

Document number A1215669, agenda pages 98-142 refer.

In response to a question, Ms Langley advised that the purpose of the Chief Executive having delegated authority to release information from public excluded was essentially to make clear that if Council did not

have good reason for withholding the information then it needed to be released.

It was noted that elected members needed to be advised if this occurred.

Resolved

THAT the report Delegations Register Review and its attachments (A1183061 and A1183062) be received;

AND THAT the Delegations Register (A1183061) be adopted.

Davy/McGurk

Carried

12. Draft Regional Land Transport Plan – Release for Consultation

Document number A1272158, agenda pages 143-147 refer.

Senior Asset Engineer – Transport and Roading, Rhys Palmer, joined the meeting.

Point of Order: Her Worship the Mayor raised a point of order in accordance with standing order 3.13.4 (c), noting that discussions regarding the Regional Land Transport Plan were irrelevant as its content was not being considered today.

In response to a question, Mr Palmer said that the Summary of Statement of Proposal would be released for consultation on 21 November until 22 December 2014. He informed councillors that submissions would then be received and heard by the Regional Transport Committee (RTC).

He indicated that the Government Policy Statement had not been released and this was an issue for the New Zealand Transport Agency (NZTA).

It was noted that submissions should be provided to councillors early so there was adequate time for reading as the RTC was scheduled to meet on 10 February 2015.

It was highlighted that deliberations and recommendations were in collaboration with NZTA and this process was prescribed in the Land Transport Management Act 2003.

In response to a question, Chief Executive, Clare Hadley, advised that this was not a significant decision in relation to consulting with the public even though the document which was being consulted on was significant. Mr Palmer added that it was important to note that the Regional Land Transport Plan (RLTP) had its own significance policy through the Land Transport Act 2003.

It was acknowledged that the RLTP was a collaboration between Tasman and Marlborough District Council's along with Nelson City Council and the process had been successful in compiling a plan which formed roading connections across the top of the south.

Resolved

THAT the report draft Regional Land Transport Programme – Release for Consultation (A1272158) and its attachments (A1271105 and A1272010) be received;

AND THAT the Draft Regional Land Transport Plan (A1271105) and the Summary of Statement of Proposal (A1272010), incorporating any changes resolved by the Council, be released for consultation in accordance with Section 83 of the Local Government Act 2002;

AND THAT the Chief Executive is delegated authority to make any minor editorial changes;

AND THAT the Nelson Regional Transport Committee hear submissions on the draft Regional Land Transport Programme in February 2015 and report back to Council thereafter for adoption and submission to the New Zealand Transport Agency.

Davy/Copeland

Carried

Attendance: The meeting adjourned for morning tea at 10.29am and resumed at 10.57am. During this time Councillor Lawrey left the meeting.

13. Public Forum (Cont'd)

Her Worship the Mayor advised that a late public forum was to be presented.

13.1 Kris Renwick

Mr Kris Renwick spoke about the regional landfill proposal and said he believed it had not been well broadcasted as he had been unaware of the proposal as a resident of Bishopdale.

He advised that he had distributed letters in the affected area and so far 90% of the responses indicated that they were unaware of the proposal. Mr Renwick said that he wished to submit to the regional landfill proposal in relation to his concerns around the devaluation of houses and the associated noise and disruption of increased truck movements. In addition, he advised that the intersections at Brunner Street/Market Road and Waimea Road were already difficult.

In response to a question, Mr Renwick advised that he first became aware of the regional landfill proposal when he was selling his house.

There were concerns raised that as Council was in the middle of deliberations, some councillors felt uncomfortable accepting this late submission.

14. Administrative Matters (Cont'd)

Document number A1260516, agenda pages 29-42 refer.

Her Worship the Mayor advised that a resolution needed to be passed to adopt the Schedule of Documents Sealed.

Resolved

THAT attachment 4, the Schedule of Documents Sealed (A1235615) be adopted.

Barker/Davy

Carried

In response to a question, Chief Executive, Clare Hadley, informed councillors that the Armourguard officers were appointed in relation to a noise control contract.

15. Waimea Community Dam (Lee Valley Dam), Water Take from the Roding River, Maitai/Roding Resource Consent Matters and the Nelson City Council/Tasman District Council Engineering Services Agreement

Document number A1269513, agenda pages 148-181 refer.

Senior Asset Engineer – Utilities, Phil Ruffell, joined the meeting.

Councillor Davy advised that he previously had a conflict of interest with this item however, this was now resolved.

In response to questions, Mr Ruffell advised that Nelson City Council (NCC) did not need the Waimea Community Dam however, Nelson South was supplied water from TDC. He informed councillors that this was the result of historical boundaries which had changed and NCC could not currently supply sufficient water to Nelson South. He explained that it would cost NCC approximately \$3 million to supply this area. Mr Ruffell added that there was a possibility of the dam costs increasing from the original estimate of \$42 million to \$60 to \$80 million.

In response to a further question, Mr Ruffell said that the figure of \$600-\$750 million was an expected increase in regional Gross Domestic Product over the 25 years following the construction of the dam and was the figure provided by TDC.

Mr Ruffell advised that other water augmentation options were extensively investigated and the reason for choosing the Lee Valley was that it offered the largest storage and was the most efficient use of money.

In response to a question, Mr Ruffell advised that the independent report referred to in the second clause of the recommendation was to be prepared by an agency outside of NCC and TDC, and had been commissioned.

Mr Ruffell said that the Waimea Water Augmentation Committee made a presentation to councillors and that the link was made between the NCC water abstraction resource consents and the Waimea Community Dam. He added that the NCC water treatment plant could treat 50,000m³ a day and that the current resource consents allowed Council to take that amount of water. In addition, he mentioned that putting a dollar value to the environmental benefits of the Waimea Community Dam was subjective and it related to how people use the river in relation to recreation however, it was important to maintain life in all rivers.

In response to a question, Mr Ruffell explained that if the Waimea River ran strongly then the saltwater from Tasman Bay would not come in to the underground aquifers fed by the river. He advised there was an over allocation problem on the Waimea plains and that in summer the river was currently running close to minimum flow. He advised that the Waimea Plains productivity needed additional water.

It was pointed out that water flows and abstractions were monitored and the difficulty was establishing at what level flows needed to be maintained to keep rivers healthy. It was noted that increased productivity needed access to water and the National Environmental Standards (NES) had clear directions for maintaining flows and water quality. The dam was designed to be an intervention to improve the status quo.

Mr Ruffell said that he was aware of Steve Cross's concerns in relation to the governance structure for the dam however the submission that NCC had lodged was in two parts, financing of the dam and governance. He said that financing was for the TDC community to decide and in terms of governance, TDC had set up two options: establish a Council Controlled Organisation (CCO), or govern in house. Mr Ruffell said that in order to make a reasonable submission Council needed to give TDC some guidance as to our preference and if we commit to funding the dam Council should be involved in its governance and the only option available was through a CCO.

In response to a question, Mr Ruffell informed councillors that water supply agreements had been in place with commercial businesses in South Nelson since the time of the Waimea County Council. He said that residential developments on Champion Road North could only progress if they had access to water from TDC, and that there was no desire from NCC to terminate this agreement. He indicated that it

would cost between \$3-4 million to connect this area to the rest of the NCC water infrastructure as new reticulation was needed. He advised that there was provision within the Long Term Plan (LTP) for this.

In response to a further question, Mr Ruffell explained that if the TDC-NCC agreement for supplying Champion Road with water was terminated, there was a clause that NCC would receive a percentage of the development contributions back.

Mr Ruffell advised that NCC and TDC had a working relationship with regards to Nelson South and a new engineering agreement was being compiled so that any changes could be rolled into the LTP for consultation.

Mr Ruffell explained to councillors that the quality of water from aquifers was determined by a number of factors including whether the aquifer was confined or not. He advised that TDC had two aquifers and that they would combine the water from them to lower the level of nitrate. He added that removing nitrates was an expensive process.

It was noted that NCC relying on the Maitai Dam alone for the city's water supply would be a risk and that it would be dependent on rainfall.

It was agreed that the governance structure preference was for a CCO. It was said it would not be best practice to make a capital investment and not have a shareholding or seat on the board. It was noted that a discussion would need to be had with the community and the tax implications investigated.

There was general agreement that the content of the submission was correct and that the final governance model was flexible as it could still be a TDC entity.

Chief Executive, Clare Hadley, explained that utilising the data from the same external provider (NZIER) would reduce costs and that the organisation was providing NCC with independent professional advice.

Resolved

THAT the report Waimea Community Dam (Lee Valley), Water Take from the Roding River, Maitai/Roding Resource Consent Matters and the Nelson City Council/Tasman District Council Engineering Services Agreement (A1269513) and its attachments (A1247016, A1248296, A1246928, A1273950, A1222713, A1270411) be received;

AND THAT Council note an external report on the economic benefit/cost to Nelson City that may arise from the construction and operation of the Waimea Community Dam has been commissioned;

AND THAT following completion of this work, Council consider appropriate provision in the Long Term Plan 2015-25 for any contribution towards the construction and operation of a Waimea Community Dam, to allow consultation with the community as to quantum and conditions;

AND THAT the submission to the Tasman District Council Statement of Proposal for the Proposed Waimea Community Dam Funding & Governance Options be endorsed;

AND THAT the underlying philosophy of the Nelson City Council/Tasman District Council Engineering Services Agreement set out in this report be included in the upcoming Long Term Plan 2015-25;

AND THAT following consultation the Chief Executive be delegated the authority to complete the new engineering services agreement with Tasman District Council.

Her Worship the Mayor/Matheson

Carried

Attendance: The meeting adjourned for lunch from 12.38pm until 1.11pm

16. Development Contributions Policy Review Statement

Document number A1264778, agenda pages 182-209 refer.

Policy Adviser, Susan Moore-Lavo joined the meeting.

In response to a question, she advised that the broad directions referred to in clause 2 of the officer's recommendations were illustrated in paragraph 11 of attachment two.

Resolved

THAT the report Development Contributions Policy Review Statement (A1264778) and its attachments (A1264147 and A1273776) be received;

AND THAT the broad direction of the review of the Nelson City Council Development Contributions Policy, as outlined in paragraph 11 (A1273776) is agreed;

AND THAT this direction be made publicly available by 1 December 2014.

REPORTS FROM COMMITTEES

17. Nelson Tasman Civil Defence Emergency Management Group – 7 October 2014

Document number A1265545, agenda pages 210-212 refer.

Resolved

THAT the unconfirmed minutes of a meeting of the Nelson Tasman Civil Defence Emergency Management Group, held on 7 October 2014, be received.

Copeland/Skinner

Carried

18. Planning and Regulatory Committee – 23 October 2014

Document number A1265534, agenda pages 213-219 refer.

Resolved

THAT the unconfirmed minutes of a meeting of the Nelson City Council Planning and Regulatory Committee, held on 23 October 2014, be received.

McGurk/Fulton

Carried

18.1 Environment Activity Management Plan

Resolved

THAT the Draft Environment Activity Management Plan 2015-2025 (A1243203) be approved, subject to increasing the heritage incentives amount to \$270,000, as the version that will inform the Long Term Plan 2015-25;

AND THAT the Chief Executive investigates a range of options that could be available for earthquake strengthening of heritage buildings within the CBD.

McGurk/Fulton

Carried

18.2 Plan Change 16 – Inner City Noise – operative date

Attendance: Councillor Noonan declared an interest with this item.

Attendance: Councillor Lawrey returned to the meeting at 1.17pm.

Resolved

THAT Council resolves to make the provisions within the commissioners decision on Plan Change 16 – Inner City Noise (A1206051) operative on 1 December 2014, pursuant to Clause 20(1) of the First Schedule of the Resource Management Act 1991.

McGurk/Barker

Carried

18.3 Draft Building and Other Regulatory Activity Management Plan

Resolved

THAT the Draft Building and Other Regulatory Activity Management Plan be approved as the version that will inform the Long Term Plan 2015-2025.

McGurk/Fulton

Carried

19. Community Services Committee – 30 October 2014

Document number A1267887, agenda pages 220-228 refer.

Resolved

THAT the unconfirmed minutes of a meeting of the Nelson City Council Community Services Committee, held on 30 October 2014, be received.

Noonan/Skinner

Carried

19.1 Arts Activity Management Plan

Resolved

THAT the Draft Arts Activity Management Plan 2015-2025 (A1126659) be approved as the version that will inform the Long Term Plan 2015-2025.

Noonan/Skinner

Carried

Nelson City Council Minutes
20 November 2014

19.2 Tahuna Beach Camp Incorporated Annual Report

Attendance: Councillor Barker declared an interest with this item and left the meeting at 1.18pm.

Resolved

THAT Council commission an external review of its three campgrounds, to understand their financial trends, and to assist in establishing a strategic direction for these properties.

Noonan/Matheson

Carried

Attendance: Councillor Barker returned to the meeting at 1.20pm.

19.3 Community Partnerships Activity Management Plan

Recommendation to Council

THAT the Draft Community Partnerships Activity Management Plan 2015-2025 (A1236577) be approved as the version that will inform the Long Term Plan 2015-25.

Noonan/Lawrey

Carried

20. Chief Executive Employment Committee – 3 November 2014

Document number A1269404, agenda pages 229-231 refer.

Resolved

THAT the unconfirmed minutes of a meeting of the Nelson City Council Chief Executive Employment Committee, held on 3 November 2014, be received.

Her Worship the Mayor/Matheson

Carried

21. Extraordinary meeting of the Community Services Committee – 4 November 2014

Document number A1269607, agenda pages 232-234 refer.

Resolved

THAT the unconfirmed minutes of an extraordinary meeting of the Nelson City Council Community Services Committee, held on 4 November 2014, be received.

Noonan/Skinner Carried

21.1 Property and Facilities Asset Management Plan

Property and Facilities Asset Manager, Michael Homan advised that the amendments were only editorial changes.

Resolved

THAT the amended draft Property and Facilities Asset Management Plan 2015-2025 (A1224345) be approved to inform the Long Term Plan 2015-2025.

Noonan/Copeland Carried

22. Governance Committee – 6 November 2014

Document number A1272971, agenda pages 235-244 refer.

Resolved

THAT the unconfirmed minutes of a meeting of the Nelson City Council Governance Committee, held on 6 November 2014, be received.

Barker/Acland Carried

22.1 Nelson Enterprise Loan Trust Interest Free Loan (NELT)

Resolved

THAT the \$25,000 interest free loan to Nelson Enterprise Loan Trust be rolled for a further three years through to September 2017.

Barker/Acland Carried

22.2 Finance Report for period ending 30 September 2014

There was concern raised about the increase in expenditure to provide free WiFi. It was discussed that there was support for an effective WiFi system and that initial figures had come from another organisation.

Resolved

THAT Council approves unbudgeted operating expenditure of \$13,557 for the 2014/15 year to continue to provide free WiFi to the Central Business District;

AND THAT Council approves additional capital expenditure of up to \$62,000 for capital works at Saxton Field.

Barker/Acland Carried

22.3 Development Contributions Policy Review Statement

Resolved

THAT the principles guiding the review of the Development Contributions Policy be considered and that this direction be made publicly available by 1 December 2014.

Barker/Noonan Carried

22.4 Community Outcomes Descriptors

Resolved

THAT the descriptors explaining the Community Outcomes be adopted to inform the Long Term Plan 2015-25.

Barker/Davy Carried

22.5 Adoption of the Significance and Engagement Policy

Resolved

THAT the Significance and Engagement Policy be adopted.

Barker/Davy Carried

23. Exclusion of the Public

Resolved

THAT the public be excluded from the following parts of the proceedings of this meeting.

The general subject of each matter to be considered while the public is excluded, the reason for passing this resolution in relation to each matter and the specific grounds under section 48(1) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 for the passing of this resolution are as follows:

| Item | General subject of each matter to be considered | Reason for passing this resolution in relation to each matter | Particular interests protected (where applicable) |
|------|--|--|---|
| 1 | <p>Council – Public Excluded – 30 October 2014</p> | <p>Section 48(1)(a)</p> <p>The public conduct of this matter would be likely to result in disclosure of information for which good reason exists under section 7</p> | <p>The withholding of the information is necessary:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Section 7(2)(i) To carry out negotiations |
| 2 | <p>Public Excluded Status Report – 20 November 2014</p> <p>This report contains information regarding:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Plan Change 18 Appeals | <p>Section 48(1)(a)</p> <p>The public conduct of this matter would be likely to result in disclosure of information for which good reason exists under section 7</p> | <p>The withholding of the information is necessary:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Section 7(2)(j) To prevent improper gain or advantage |
| 3 | <p>Farmers Development – Nelson CBD</p> | <p>Section 48(1)(a)</p> <p>The public conduct of this matter would be likely to result in disclosure of information for which good reason exists under section 7</p> | <p>The withholding of the information is necessary:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Section 7(2)(i) To carry out negotiations |
| 4 | <p>Nelson Tasman Civil Defence Emergency Management Group - Public Excluded Minutes – 7 October 2014</p> <p>These minutes contain information regarding:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Appointments | <p>Section 48(1)(a)</p> <p>The public conduct of this matter would be likely to result in disclosure of information for which good reason exists under section 7</p> | <p>The withholding of the information is necessary:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Section 7(2)(a) To protect the privacy of natural persons |
| 5 | <p>Chief Executive Employment Committee – Public Excluded Minutes – 3</p> | <p>Section 48(1)(a)</p> <p>The public conduct of this matter would be likely to result in</p> | <p>The withholding of the information is necessary:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Section 7(2)(a) To protect the privacy of natural persons |

| Item | General subject of each matter to be considered | Reason for passing this resolution in relation to each matter | Particular interests protected (where applicable) |
|------|---|--|---|
| | <p>November 2014</p> <p>These minutes contain information regarding:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Chief Executives Performance Review • Staff Statistics | disclosure of information for which good reason exists under section 7 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Section 7(2)(c) To protect information that is subject to an obligation of confidence |
| 6 | <p>Governance Committee – Public Excluded Minutes – 6 November 2014</p> <p>These minutes contain information regarding:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Additional Trustee for Economic Development Agency | <p>Section 48(1)(a)</p> <p>The public conduct of this matter would be likely to result in disclosure of information for which good reason exists under section 7</p> | <p>The withholding of the information is necessary:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Section 7(2)(a) To protect the privacy of natural persons |

Her Worship the Mayor/Ward

Carried

The meeting went into public excluded session at 1.34pm and resumed in public session at 2.55pm.

24. Re-admittance of the Public

Resolved

THAT the public be re-admitted to the meeting.

Her Worship the Mayor/Skinner

Carried

There being no further business the meeting ended at 2.57pm.

Confirmed as a correct record of proceedings:

_____ Chairperson _____ Date