

NELSON CITY COUNCIL

Nelson Resource Management Plan

Proposed Plan Change 15:

Heritage

Full copy of Submissions Received

6 February 2010 – 5 March 2010



Nelson City Council
te kaunihera o whakatū

**Nelson City Council
Submission Form
(Public Notification of a resource consent)**

To: Consents Administrator
Nelson City Council
P O Box 645
NELSON 7015
(03) 546 0200

25 FEB 2010

NELSON CITY COUNCIL
Customer Service

Full name of submitter(s): Cynthia Anne McConville
Address for service: 95 Halifax St. East
The Wood, NELSON
Telephone Number: 5466891 HMWK Fax Number: _____
Applicants Name: NCC Application No.: Plan
Applicants Proposal: Charge 15
Add 7 heritage items

This submission supports/opposes the application (delete as applicable)

The particular parts of the application I support/oppose are: (delete as applicable)

{Clearly indicate which parts of the application you support or oppose or wish to have amendments made to – you may continue on a separate sheet}

Heritage B classification for the
property at 95 Halifax St. East.

The reasons for making my submission are: (use attachments if appropriate)

{State in summary the nature of your submission, giving reasons, you may continue on a separate sheet}

Owner of property.

Decision I wish the Consent Authority to make: {Give details, including nature of any conditions sought – you may continue on a separate sheet}

Support application

Do you wish to be heard in support of this submission at any hearing that may be held?

☐
yes

tick one

☒
no

Signed: A. A. McConville

Date: 24/2/10

{Signature of person making submission or person authorised to sign on behalf of person making submission}

A copy of your submission must be served on the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after service of your submission to the Nelson City Council

A FAXED SUBMISSION MUST BE FOLLOWED BY THE ORIGINAL IN THE POST

Making a Submission

Any person may make a submission to Council on an application which has been publicly notified.

Any submission shall:

- Be in writing
- Be served on the Nelson City Council, PO Box 645, Nelson, no later than the closing date specified in the public notice (20 working days after the date of notification).
- Also be served on the applicant as soon as possible after serving the submission on Council
- State the reasons for making the submission and the decision that the person wishes Council to make (if known) and the general nature of any conditions sought.
- Whether or not the person making the submission wishes to be heard in respect of the submission.

All persons or authorities lodging a written submission have the right to attend and speak at the hearing of an application. You may be represented by a chosen person (ie your legal adviser), and/or make a joint submission with other persons. You are entitled to have your submission received in Maori, however we would appreciate early advice of this to allow us time to organise an interpreter. If you feel you may be affected by a proposal, you should make a submission. If you do not, you will have no legal opportunity to do so at a later stage.

Any persons making a submission and indicating a desire to be heard at a hearing will be notified of the hearing date and, at a later date, the decision. They will also receive a copy of a detailed planning report prepared by Council staff, approximately one week before the hearing.

If you intend to be heard and wish to present additional information to support your submission, you should provide twelve(12) copies at the hearing.

Should you later decide to withdraw your submission for any reason, Council would appreciate you advising the Consents Administrator in writing as soon as possible. Once you have withdrawn your submission, it's contents cannot be considered at the hearing. If you decide, after withdrawing, that you still want a say, then you must make a new submission.



Patron:
*His Excellency The Honourable
Sir Anand Satyanand, GNZM, QSO
Governor-General of New Zealand*

RECEIVED

08 MAR 2010

NELSON CITY COUNCIL
Records

04.03.10

33002-090

Nelson City Council
PO Box 645,
Nelson 7040
New Zealand

Attention: Peter Rawson

**Feedback New Zealand Historic Places Trust Pouhere Taonga to
Nelson City Council – Plan Change 15 - Heritage.**

This is a submission on Plan Change 15 – Heritage, of the Nelson City Council. NZHPT's submission relates to historic heritage matters of proposed plan change.

The NZHPT is supportive of the plan change.

The NZHPT seeks the following decision from the local authority:



Council adopts the plan change as notified.

The reasons for the NZHPT's position are as follows:

Plan change 15 seeks to add new listings and make corrections to an existing schedule of heritage buildings, places and objects in appendix 1 of the Nelson Resource Management Plan.

NZHPT commends council on the initiative to review the historic heritage listings in the district plan and undertaking the research and assessment work necessary to support those listings. NZHPT is supportive of Council's review of listing classifications, allowing for a stronger alignment with NZHPT's National Register of historic heritage. NZHPT believes that regular review of historic heritage listings in the district plan will allow the plan to stay current and reliable. This is especially important when considering the emergence of new genre of historic heritage that historically may not have been valued or recognised. Recent examples of such heritage would be the resurgence of interest in Maori heritage, art deco, industrial heritage and the modern movement architectural style all of which are under-represented in district plans nationally.

Heritage schedules are an important method of providing a list of significant historic heritage in district plans. The central purpose of a heritage schedule is to provide information about specific places that are protected by the rules in the district plan. It is often the case that the public expects 'listed' places to be protected and listing in a district plan can provide statutory protection for category I and II registered historic heritage (under the Historic Places Act).

Local authorities are responsible for heritage schedules in their plans. They are directed to recognise and provide for the protection of historic heritage as a matter of national importance. As such, Council's need to carefully assess all items proposed for listing in the schedules, with criteria based on, but not limited to, the definition of historic heritage under the RMA. Recommended criteria for assessing historic heritage values include physical, historic and cultural considerations being (but not limited to); archaeological, architecture, technology, scientific, rarity, representativeness, integrity, vulnerability, context or group, people, events, patterns, identity, public esteem, commemorative, education, Tangata Whenua and statutory recognition. It should be noted that the criteria are not mutually exclusive. Some heritage places possess a range of values that overlap with each other. For example, the archaeological and historical values of a place both relate to information about the past and may be closely intertwined. Other heritage places may be strongly associated with just one particular value.

This plan change 15 proposes to raise the classification of Warwick House from a category B listing to a category A listing to acknowledge its regional significance. The property is registered as Category II under the New Zealand Historic Places Act and has been identified as historic heritage of significance. As such, in recognition of this significance the proposed upgrade of its listing in the district plan from B to A category is an appropriate amendment to the plan.

The remainder of the proposed listings although not registered with the NZHPT, possess a variety of historic heritage values, and are worthy of protection under the district plan acknowledging their local and regional significance.

The NZHPT seeks the following decision from the local authority:



Council adopts the plan change as notified.

NZHPT does not wish to be heard in support of our submission.

Yours faithfully,

Ann Neill
General Manager
Central Region
New Zealand Historic Places Trust *Pouhere Taonga*

Address for service:

Sacha Gilbert
Heritage Adviser - Planning
New Zealand Historic Places Trust - Pouhere Taonga
PO Box 19173
Wellington
Ph (04) 801-5088
DDI (04) 802-0001
Fax (04) 802-5180
Email: sgilbert@historic.org.nz

RECEIVED

05 MAR 2010



Submission

NELSON CITY COUNCIL
Customer ServiceNelson City Council
te kaunihera o whakatūon Plan Change 15 (Heritage)
to the Nelson Resource Management Plan

Office use:

Sub#:

SubID#:

RAD#:

1.0 Submitter Details

Full Name	EVAN ROSS THOMAS SUSAN (SUE) MARY HARROD THOMAS		
Organisation			
Contact Person	Sue Thomas / Evan Thomas		
Postal address	181 Ranzau Road	Business Phone	Don't have those on building sites.
	Hope	Home Phone	(03) 544 7379
	NELSON	Mobile Phone	Never hear it so not worth phoning.
Email	sueandevanthomas@gmail.com		Fax
Address for service (if different from above)			
			Number of extra pages attached to this form

2.0 Council address for service

Please return your submission by 5pm on Friday 5 March 2010:

NRMP Plan Change 15 (Heritage) submission

Nelson City Council

P.O. Box 645

Nelson 7040

(Hand Delivery or Courier to: Ground Floor, Civic House, 110 Trafalgar St, Nelson 7010)

THE SUBMISSION PERIOD CLOSSES AT 5PM ON FRIDAY 5 March 2010

3.0 Council Hearing

Do you wish to be heard in support of your submission?

Yes ☒No ☐ → (Go to question 4.0)

If others make a similar submission would you be prepared to consider presenting a joint case?

Yes ☐No ☒

4.0 Content of Submission

4.1 The specific provisions of the proposal that my submission relates to are: [Give details of what parts of the plan change your submission relates to]

We support option 2 but with some reservations.

We own two of the properties involved and therefore possibly have more in-depth knowledge than most.

We do however have some significant concerns and wish to speak to the council regarding those.

4.2 Reasons: [describe the reasons for your views]

We feel it would be far better and far more efficient for all concerned if we came and spoke to the council briefly - we anticipate council/councillors may have questions they wish to ask us and we're quite happy to answer those in person. We feel it would be difficult/impossible to address and clarify all the issues we wish to raise if we had to do it in writing.

4.3 The decision ^{we} request from Council is: *[describe how you want Council to respond to your submission i.e. accept, reject or make amendments to the plan change. If amendments are proposed please outline what these are below.]*

That plan 2 change proceed but after hearing from us
in person.

5.0 Signature

Sue Thomas ER Thomas

Signature of submitter (or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter)

(A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means)

5/03/10.

Date _____